CHORLEY PUBLIC SERVICE REFORM BOARD

PROGRAMME OF WORK; OUTLINE BUSINESS CASES AND WORKPLAN 2014/15

PURPOSE OF REPORT

This report presents the programme of work for the Chorley Public Service Reform Board for 2014/15. It provides outline business cases for each workstream and the annual work programme for approval.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that this programme of work is approved by the Board, and that respective partners instruct their officers to begin to deliver the workstreams.

BACKGROUND – DEFINING THE WORKSTREAMS

Following the last Partnership Executive meeting, the work streams were discussed and approved. This includes;

 Chorley wellbeing and resilience system – this will consider all of the wellbeing services currently provided in Chorley by the following organisations; Chorley Council, Lancashire County Council, Lancashire Care Foundation Trust and Chorley and South Ribble Clinical Commissioning Group, and redesign the way they are provided to ensure a better service for communities at lower cost.

It will be supported by three enabling workstreams;

- 1. Integrating our assets to develop a coordinated list of assets across partners in Chorley to recommend integrating assets by co-location of services, transfer or reduction in assets to make savings of 10% across the borough
- 2. Intelligence led services and sharing intelligence to overcome the barriers to data sharing and better use the intelligence each organisation holds about vulnerable people to target support where it is most needed
- 3. Joint Commissioning to develop a locality based commissioning hub which will enable partners to jointly commission services needed within Chorley, thus ensuring non-duplication of services and economies of scale

These three workstreams, assets, data and commissioning will help to build the case and enable transformational change across the whole service

OUTLINE BUSINESS CASES

For each workstream, an outline business case has been developed which defines the following;

- Aim
- Scope
- Objectives
- Deliverables

- Outcomes
- Milestones
- Risks
- Links to other programmes

The business cases also defined the commitment required from partner organisations to achieve the objectives as set out. These have been shared with partner organisations, and partners asked to agree to sign up to them and name officers to work on these projects.

All partner organisations have confirmed their commitments to the workstreams, and this is now contained within the outline business cases. These are attached at Appendix A.

2014/15 WORKPLAN

The attached workplan (at Appendix B) sets out the milestones for each workstream. It schedules the work from July 2014 through to March 2015.

Following approval of the outline business cases, the named officers will start to deliver against this workplan. Summary reports of progress will be brought back to the Board.

CONCLUSION

The outline business cases and workplan are presented to the Board for discussion and comment. It would be useful to check that Board members are satisfied that the content is right, that the appropriate links have been made to other programmes of work and that the timescales are achievable.

It is recommended that this programme of work is approved by the Board, and that respective partners instruct their officers to begin to deliver the workstreams.

Report Author	Ext	Date	Doc ID
Sarah James	01257 515348	23 rd June 2014	Terms of Reference

CHORLEY PUBLIC SERVICE REFORM BOARD – WORKPLAN 2014/15 – OUTLINE BUSINESS CASE (INTEGRATED ASSETS)

WORKSTREAM	INTEGRATED ASSETS			
Description ; to develop a coordinated list of assets across partners in Chorley to recommend integrating assets by co-location of services, transfer or reduction in assets to make savings of 10% across the borough				
Background; Partner organisations have a large number of physical assets across the borough. A review of assets with a view to reducing the property portfolio and encouraging more co-location of services has been identified as a possible tool to integrate services and reduce spend on underused buildings.	 Scope; Within scope; Review of physical assets within the whole district Recommendations for co-location, transfer or reduction Not in scope – non-physical assets or those outside of the Chorley boundaries 	Organisational commitments; Partners must commit to; 1. Providing their property portfolio and details of current capacity 2. Providing staff resource (property services) to take part in the review 3. Consider the recommendations of the review and implement the actions where possible		
 Objectives; To obtain property portfolio lists from all partners To understand and review capacity in each property To review the linkages between services provided in properties To make recommendations on the potential for co-location, transfer or reduction 	 Deliverables; Combined asset list of Chorley properties Review of capacity and potential for colocation, transfer or reduction Agreed recommendations for the future joint property portfolio Action plan for implementation 	 Outcomes; More services will be co-located thus ensuring a more coordinated service for customers Better use of public buildings, leading to a more effective use of resources Organisations will make better use of available property within Chorley including options for community transfers 		
Key Milestones; Quarter One – Outline Business Case approved Quarter Two – Collect and analyse current property portfolios, make recommendations Quarter Three – Agree recommendations and implementation plan	Risks; ❖ Inability of organisations to commit to recommendations due to leases, or other reasons ❖ Management of shared space	 Links to other programmes Community asset mapping work done by Connect 4 Life Corporate Assets Review (LCC) horley and South Ribble CCG, Chorley VCFS Network,		

Named Partners; Chorley Council, Lancashire Care Foundation Trust, Lancashire County Council, Chorley and South Ribble CCG, Chorley VCFS Network, Lancashire Teaching Hospitals Trust

CHORLEY PUBLIC SERVICE REFORM BOARD - WORKPLAN 2014/15 - OUTLINE BUSINESS CASE (JOINT COMMISSIONING)

WORKSTREAM	JOINT COMMISSIONING	
Description; to develop a locality based commission ensuring non-duplication of services and economic Background; There are a number of public/customer facing services commissioned across the borough, which are in some cases supplementary or even a duplication of other commissions or existing services. There is an opportunity to join up all parts of the commissioning cycle and commissioning support to ensure more joined up services with better outcomes. Objectives; 1. To understand the commissioning processes and budgets from each organisation	oning hub which will enable partners to jointly comes of scale Scope; Within scope; Review of existing commissioning processes and budgets Recommendations for co-ordinated models or support Pilot to test a new model Not in scope – undertaking the commissioning process for planned procurements Deliverables; Organisational specifications of what they commission, the processes they undertake	Organisational commitments; 1. Providing details of their current commissions and processes 2. Providing staff resource (commissioning support) to take part in the review 3. Take part in a new locality based model as a pilot, if applicable 4. Considering and responding to the outcomes and recommendations Outcomes; • Services to be better co-ordinated and joined up
 To consider what this means for Chorley in terms of services To review how the support for commissioning is resourced To develop and potentially test a new model of commissioning on a locality footprint To make recommendations for a way forward towards joint commissioning 	 and the support in place A combined view of commissioning budgets within Chorley A test model to be developed and tested Recommendations for joint commissioning 	 Better experience for residents Better use of resources as commissioning support can be aligned
Key Milestones; Quarter One – Outline Business Case approved Quarter Two – Profile current commissioning Quarter Three - Develop test model Quarter Four – Agree recommendations for future commissioning	Risks; ❖ Inability of organisations to separate out Chorley spend ❖ Inability of organisations to change ways of working with regards to spend ❖ Procurement regulations	 Links to other programmes Development of a locality commissioning hub (CCG / LCC / CC) Better Care Fund (LCC/CCG)

Named Partners; Chorley Council, Lancashire Care Foundation Trust, Lancashire County Council, Chorley and South Ribble CCG, Chorley VCFS Network, Lancashire Teaching Hospitals Trust

CHORLEY PUBLIC SERVICE REFORM BOARD – WORKPLAN 2014/15 – OUTLINE BUSINESS CASE (INTELLIGENCE LED SERVICES AND SHARING INTELLIGENCE)

isational commitments; rs must commit to; Providing information on the data they hold and their data sharing protocols Reviewing the current practices in developing and a joint agreement Participating in a new agreement
rs must commit to; Providing information on the data they hold and their data sharing protocols Reviewing the current practices in developing and a joint agreement Participating in a new agreement
Providing information on the data they hold and their data sharing protocols Reviewing the current practices in developing and a joint agreement Participating in a new agreement
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
mes;
Organisations have clarity regarding what information they will and will not share, and an agreement to promote it where possible Support for people can be better coordinated and targeted, with an emphasis on an 'tell us once' type contact
Better care, better value programme (LCFT / LCC / CCG)
id

CHORLEY PUBLIC SERVICE REFORM BOARD – WORKPLAN 2014/15 – OUTLINE BUSINESS CASE (CHORLEY WELLBEING AND RESILIANCE SERVICE)

WORKSTREAM	CHORLEY WELLBEING AND RESILIANCE SERVICE			
Description ; a pilot project to look at the feasibility of an integrated wellbeing and resilience system that encompasses wellbeing services, trailing the				
learning from the other work streams		T		
Background;	Scope;	Organisational commitments;		
Organisations currently invest in a range of	Within the scope;	Partners must commit to;		
wellbeing and resilience services, but the current	 Services that contribute to wellbeing and 	1. Providing a matrix of their services that		
offer is fragmented. Activities are not joined up,	resilience from the organisations that	contribute to wellbeing and resilience		
there are multiple providers of similar services	are signed up	2. Providing staff resource to support the		
and a range of access points and criteria. This	 A review of provision and a 	review		
workstream aims to consider how looking	recommendation for a different service	3. Giving due consideration of the options of		
beyond organisational boundaries we could	with options for delivery	delivery		
integrate some of these services.		4. Agreeing and implementing the action plan		
Objectives;	Deliverables;	Outcomes;		
1. To identify which wellbeing and resilience	 Development of service matrices per 	 Services are more joined up and easier 		
services could be delivered in Chorley	organisation for their wellbeing services	to access for the customer		
2. To generate options for future service	 Development of matrix for an integrated 	 Duplication in services is reduced to 		
delivery	service	ensure more effective public spending		
3. To present and agree recommendations for	 Model options for service structure 	 More opportunities are provided for 		
development of the service	 Report of findings and recommendations 	people to look after their own wellbeing		
4. To develop an implementation plan	 Proposed Implementation plan 	and resilience		
Key Milestones;	Risks;	Links to other programmes		
Quarter One – Outline Business Case approved	Organisations are not able to fully	 Recommissioning of wellbeing services 		
and development of service matrixes	commit to different ways of working and	(LCC)		
Quarter Two – Development of matrix for an	the potential of different ways of	 Integrated wellbeing framework (LCC) 		
integrated service and model options for delivery	delivering their services	 Better Care Fund (LCC/CCG) 		
Quarter Three – Report and Recommendations	Changes adopted are only minor and do	 Integrated Neighbourhood Teams (LCFT 		
Quarter Four – Approval of implementation plan	not achieve maximum impact	/ LCC / CCG)		
No seed Books and Club Could be Could b		1 16 11 51111 666 1 1 7 1 1		

Named Partners; Chorley Council, Lancashire Care Foundation Trust, Lancashire County Council, Chorley and South Ribble CCG, Lancashire Teaching Hospitals Trust, Chorley VCFS Network, Lancashire Constabulary